

New Approaches for the Study of Program Evaluation Results Utilisation: New evidences, Proposed Hypotheses, Methodologies and Challenges

> Johann Jacob\*, Moktar Lamari\*, Mathieu Ouimet\*\* and Jean Turgeon\*\*\*

\*Centre de recherche et d'expertise en évaluation (CREXE): École nationale d'administration publique (ENAP) \*\*Université Laval \*\*\*Groupe d'étude sur les politiques publiques et la santé (GEPPS): École nationale d'administration publique (ENAP)

Wednesday, September 1<sup>st</sup> 2010



### **Presentation Plan**

 Overview of the Use of Evaluation within the Departments of the Government of Quebec

- Conceptual Framework, Research Questions, Hypothesis, Data, Results
- Enhancing the Conceptual Framework for Further Research
  - Advocacy Coalition Framework; Policy Cycle; Disjointed Incrementalism; Mixed Scanning Approach; Garbage Can Theory

#### Methodological Considerations

Conceptual Clarity; Generalization of Findings; Units of Analysis

**Conceptual Issues and Directions for a Research Design** 





## **Conceptual Framework**

### The « Utilisation Paradox »

 Despite the importance of information available, only a small part of this information is used (James and Jorgensen (2009)

#### Shortcomings of the Concept of Utilisation of Evaluation

- Magnitude and vagueness of many approaches of evaluation utilisation (Kirkhart, 2000)
- An overdeveloped concept (Mark and Henry, 2004)
- Lack of moral beacon (Mark and Henry, 2004)
- Limited interest for the change process explaining how evaluation influences people attitudes and actions (Mark and Henry, 2004)



### Concept of Evaluation Influence

- Reconceptualization of the utilisation of evaluation towards the concept of evaluation influence
- Interest for the benefits linked to evaluation

### Appreciation of the Concept of Influence

- Kirkhart's and Mark and Henry's models have the advantage of making the concept of utilisation of evaluation more concrete, but...
- We are still missing a theoretical foundation that would capture the influence of context on utilisation and from which we could derive hypotheses that would advance the state of knowledge regarding the use of evaluation.





### Litterature on Research Utilization

- Research Utilization (Weiss, 1979)
  - Knowledge-driven model; Problem-solving model; Interactive model; Political model; Tactical model; Enlightenment model; Research as part of the intellectual enterprise of society
- A 6-Step Process (Landry et al., 2003)
  - Reception, cognition, discussion, reference, efforts (adaptation), influence in the organization
- However, we still can't explain how a political system can better mobilize its resources dedicated to research in order to inform public policy.





### Litterature on Research Utilization

• Some determinants may influence utilization level and transfer achieved (Landry et al., 2001; 2003).

#### Engineering explanations (science push model)

• The progress of knowledge would be different from one discipline to another and from one individual to another.

#### – Socio-organizational explanations

- <u>Organizational-interest explanations</u>: Organizational structures, the size of an organization, its focus area, the hierarchical level occupied, the organization's needs
- Institutional model: Differences between users and knowledge providers leading to a miscommunication between the two groups
- <u>Interaction explanations</u>: Shortcomings on the interactions between the producers of knowledge and potential users





### **Research Questions**

- Why do some policy analysts consult evaluation reports and other not?
- What determine behaviours aimed at adopting or changing public policies?
- What is the impact of program evaluation reports on policy changes?





### Hypothesis

- H1: Behaviours of consultation and valorisation of knowledge produced by evaluation reports are induced by the intrinsec caracteristics of the policy analyst.
- H2: Policy analysts that are competent and operating in an environment conducive to profesional learning are more likely to use evaluation reports.
- H3: Health, education and social development departments are more involved in the valorization of knowledge produced by program evaluation evaluation than technical and financial oriented departments.
- H4: Stages of the public-policy process are unequaly concerned by the search and exploitation of new knowledge produced program evaluation.
- H5: In a public-policy changing process, knowledge produced by departments are more influential than knowledge produced by academic research.





#### Data

- Targeted population: Policy analysts working within Quebec government (Canada)
- **Net response rate** of 62,48% (n = 1614)
- Targeted population spread in 18 of the 22 Quebec departments
- Survey instrument: Close-ended questions
- Data collected by a survey firm in 2008
- See:
  - Ouimet M, Bédard P-O, Turgeon J, Lavis JN, Gélineau F, Gagnon F, Dallaire C (2010) Correlates of consulting research evidence among policy analysts in ministries: a cross sectional study. Evidence & Policy 6(4), forthcoming





- Two <u>dependent variables</u> (DV)
  - First DV related to the behaviour of consulting program evaluation work conducted in different departments.
    - "In the last 12 months, did you consult an evaluation report from a public policy or program?"
  - Second DV relates to the behavior of suggesting changes on public policy (program, policy and strategies) within the jurisdiction of the Government of Quebec.
    - "In the last 12 months, did you write one or several documents which contained recommendations on the modification or the adoption of policies, programs, strategies or action plans?"





- Four categories of independent variables (IV)
  - Personal attributes of the policy analyst (age, gender, academic background)
  - Competences of the policy analyst (years of experience, knowledge of randomised-controlled trials, systematic reviews, quantitative studies, qualitative studies and conceptual studies, participation to professional development activities, intensity of interactions with university researchers, socio-economist status)
  - Policy domain (sector): public department for which the policy analyst is working
  - Public policy cycle: steps of the policy process in which the policy analyst is involved: policy formulation, implementation, etc.





- A fifth category of IV is added to the model, explaining the influence on public policy change.
  - Sources of information and new knowledge that can influence change in public policy:
    - Program evaluation
    - University research
    - Benchmarking and scorecard





## Models

- Multivariate Logit Regression
- Both models explain a targeted behaviour's odds ratio of probability by the respondent.
  - Consultation of reports evaluating policies and programs
  - Likelihood to influence behaviour change and the adoption of new policies





- Policy analysts who...
  - are male;
  - have studied in social science;
  - Having socio-economist status ;
  - Knowing of randomised-controlled trials;
  - Knowing of systematic reviews;
  - Interacting with the academic researcher;
  - Having professional development training;
  - Working for one of the following departments: Health and Social Services; Culture, Communications and Women's Condition; Economic Development, Innovation and Exportation; Education, Recreation and Sports, Labour and Social Solidarity, Family and Senior Citizens);
  - Involved in every steps of the policy-cycle

#### ... are more likely to consult program evaluation work.





- Policy analysts who...
  - having socio-economist status;
  - interact with the academic world;
  - work for the Treasury Board or the Executive Council;
  - are involved in every steps of the policy-cycle;
  - are only involved in the conception of public policies or programs;
  - have consulted program evaluation reports;
  - have consulted academic research;
  - have consulted benchmarking and scorecard

... are more likely to have written one or several documents which contain recommendations on the modification or the adoption of policies, programs, strategies or action plans.

« Evaluation report » is the source with the biggest B (0,647, compared to 0,307 for academic research and 0,283 for benchmarking/ scorecard).





## **Enhancing the Conceptual Framework**

- Advocacy Coalition Framework: (Sabatier and Jenkins-Smith, 1993)
  - The evaluation-generated knowledge is not used because it goes against coalition's members' beliefs system.
- Policy Cycle: (Bridgman and Davis, 1998)
  - The consultation of evaluation reports by Government of Quebec policy analysts is more important in key moments of the political process.
- Disjointed Incrementalism: (Dahl and Lindblom, 1953, Simon, 1957)
  - Government of Quebec's policy analysts consult evaluation reports at any stage of the political process.





## **Enhancing the Conceptual Framework**

#### Mixed Scanning Approach: (Dror, 1964 ; Etzioni, 1967)

 Should policy analysts further consult evaluation reports for activities aimed at making a fundamental decision (planning/ development of new policies, programming/ development of policy implementation plans, policy evaluation), evaluation utilisation would respond to a rational model rather than to an incremental one.

### Garbage can theory:

(Cohen et al, 1972; March and Olsen, 1976)

Policy analysts consult evaluation reports anytime in the political process.





### **Methodological Considerations**

### **Conceptual Clarity**

- Lack of parsimony (Sabatier, 1978)
  - Many of the factors proved inaccurate
- Relationships mostly bivariate (Sabatier, 1978)
  - Rare information on the different variables' relative importance
- Specification of the dependent variable "utilisation."
  - Scales focus too much on instrumental use and particular utilisation of research (Landry et al., 2003).
  - The concept of utilisation can have a special meaning depending on the research. It complicates results' interpretation (Invaer et al., 2002).
  - Lack of parsimony of the independent variables (Landry et al., 2003).





### **Generalization of Findings**

- Samples formed from a single policy domain, a single organization or a single hierarchical level are less likely to be generalizable (Landry et al., 2003). These levels present significant variations in the types of research needs and the extent of research utilization.
  - Studies mostly descriptive (case studies of one or a handful of decisions within a single agency or a limited number of agencies), testing hypothesis about a single decision or a limited number of decisions
  - Rare information on utilization processes' occurrence and on different variables' interaction in various situations
  - Rarity of multivariate analysis



#### Measure Accuracy

- Ignorance of the questions asked to respondents, the origin of respondents and the researcher's efforts to minimize bias, both from the respondents and the researcher.
- Significant risk of social desirability bias (Oxman, 2007)
- To what extent can we trust the memory of a respondant on the influence of a specific element of a report on a decision taken a few years earlier ? (Landry et al., 2003)





## Conceptual Issues and Possible Directions for a Research Design

- A quantitative methodology, with a large sample, seems essential in order to contribute to the literature in the field.
- A particular attention to the public policy domain is necessary.
- The use of methods such as path analysis seems to be a good strategy to consider (Johnson et al., 2009; James et Jorgensen, 2009).
  - Quantitative component measuring the level of utilization
  - Qualitative component describing the path
  - Deconstruction of an intervention to reconstruct the series of decisions that led to the actual form of the intervention
  - Identification of models showing positive relationships between procedural activities and the utilization/ influence observed





## Discussion



CENTRE DE RECHERCHE ET D'EXPERTISE EN ÉVALUATION

555, boulevard Charest est Quebec City, QC G1K 9E5 Telephone: 418 641-3000 # 6177 johann.jacob@enap.ca

www.crexe.enap.ca



